

PCT


WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification 6: H01M 8/10, 8/08, 8/04</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/22989 (43) International Publication Date: 28 May 1998 (28.05.98)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/21247 (22) International Filing Date: 18 November 1997 (18.11.97) (30) Priority Data: 08/751,366 18 November 1996 (18.11.96) US 08/846,972 30 April 1997 (30.04.97) US (71) Applicants: UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA [US/US]; Suite 313, 3716 S. Hope Street, University Park, CA 90027 (US). CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY [US/US]; 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125 (US). (72) Inventors: PRAKASH, G., K., Surya; 1946 Deerpeak Drive, Hacienda Heights, CA 91745 (US). OLAH, George, A.; 2252 Gloaming Way, Beverly Hills, CA 90210 (US). SMART, Marshall, C.; 12732 Sarah Street, Studio City, CA 91604 (US). NARAYANAN, S., R.; 212 East Wapello Street, Altadena, CA 91001 (US). WANG, Quingie, S.; 217 Cherry Blossom Place, Hockessin, DE 19707 (US). SURUMPUDI, Subbarao; 1210 E. Leadora Avenue, Glendora, CA 91741 (US). HALPERT, Gerald; 1000 East California Boulevard #304, Pasadena, CA 91106 (US).</p>	<p>(74) Agent: HARRIS, Scott, C.; Fish &amp; Richardson P.C., Suite 1400, 4225 Executive Square, La Jolla, CA 92037 (US). (81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  Published With international search report.  <i>This is the key USC/Cal Tech patent on fuel cell membranes.</i></p>	

(54) Title: NOVEL POLYMER ELECTROLYTE MEMBRANES FOR USE IN FUEL CELLS



(57) Abstract

A polymer electrolyte membrane (18) composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid (PSSA) and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) is provided. This membrane (18) exhibits low methanol crossover which translates to higher fuel and fuel cell efficiencies. A fuel cell (10) comprising a PSSA-PVDF membrane (18) is also provided. Further, methods of decreasing the fuel crossover rate, enhancing fuel cell efficiency, and enhancing electrical performance of a fuel cell are provided.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	R	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia			SG	Singapore		

## NOVEL POLYMER ELECTROLYTE MEMBRANES FOR USE IN FUEL CELLS

Field of the Invention

The present invention describes polymer electrolyte membranes. More specifically, the present invention  
5 describes a polymer electrolyte membrane with special properties that are optimized for use in direct methanol fuel cells.

Background of the Invention

The use of gasoline-powered internal combustion  
10 engines has created several exhaust gas-related environmental problems. Pollution control measures cannot completely cleanse the environment of exhaust gases that are produced upon burning of non-renewable fossil fuel.

Tremendous efforts have been directed towards  
15 finding a satisfactory solution to the pollution problems that currently plague the environment. One possible solution is the use of fuel cells. Fuel cells are highly efficient electrochemical energy conversion devices which directly convert the chemical energy derived from a  
20 renewable fuel into electrical energy. Significant research activity has focussed on the development of proton-exchange membrane fuel cells, which have shown promise in low-

temperature portable applications. The proton-exchange membrane fuel cells have a polymer electrolyte membrane disposed between a positive electrode (cathode) and a negative electrode (anode). The polymer electrolyte  
5 membrane is composed of an ion-exchange polymer (i.e. ionomer) and its role is to provide a means for ionic transport and prevent mixing of the molecular forms of the fuel and the oxidant.

Polymer electrolyte membranes intended for  $H_2/O_2$  fuel  
10 cell applications were initially made by condensation of phenolsulfonic acid and formaldehyde. These membranes had certain structural limitations and were seen to be brittle, prone to cracking when dried, and subject to rapid hydrolysis.

15 Amberplex C-1 and Amberplex A-1 membranes (Rohm & Haas Company), described in U.S. Patent 2,913,511, were later suggested for use in  $H_2/O_2$  fuel cells.

Polymer electrolyte membranes based on partially sulfonated polystyrene have also been investigated for use  
20 in  $H_2/O_2$  fuel cells.

The ionomer has typically been blended with inert polymers such as fluorinated polymers in order to improve the oxidative, thermal and dimensional properties of polymer

electrolyte membranes. However, introduction of an inert matrix does not alter the chemical and thermal properties of the ionomer. Moreover, large proportions of the inert matrix may increase the ionic resistance of the polymer electrolyte membrane. This reasoning led to the development of "D-Membranes," which were fabricated by cross-linking styrene-divinylbenzene with an inert fluorocarbon matrix, followed by sulfonation. Appleby and Jaeger, *Energy*, 1986, 11, 137. However, the lifetimes of these H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> fuel cells were less than optimal due to degradation resulting from attack on the weak  $\alpha$ -C-H bond in the polymer structure.

In order to address the stability problem observed with the "D-Membranes," General Electric Company developed the "S-Series" of membranes which were fabricated from homopolymers of  $\alpha,\beta,\beta$ -trifluorostyrene-sulfonic acid. Chapman, Proc. 7th Intersoc. Energy Conv. Eng. Conf., 1972, 466; and Hodgdon et al., U.S. Patent 3,341,366, issued September 12, 1967. Although these membranes exhibited good chemical and thermal properties, their physical properties were less than adequate.

Soon after, DuPont Chemical Company developed the NAFION™ series of polymer electrolyte membranes which found use in fuel cell space applications. Nafion has been

considered as the membrane of choice. However, high cost associated with the use of Nafion membranes is a disadvantage.

A liquid-feed fuel cell such as a direct methanol  
5 fuel cell (DMFC) has shown promise. A DMFC uses an aqueous methanol solution at temperatures as low as 60-90°C. ||  
Current state-of-the-art liquid-feed fuel cells have a  
carbon-supported Pt-Ru catalyst at the anode, carbon-  
supported Pt catalyst at the cathode and a polymer  
10 electrolyte membrane positioned between the anode and the  
cathode. An aqueous solution of an organic fuel is circulated at the anode by a pump element, which can be a conventional pump, or a more inactive pump such as vapor lock or the like. Oxygen or compressed air is supplied at  
15 the cathode. An ideal polymer electrolyte membrane should  
be impermeable to the organic fuel. However, the membrane may allow permeation of some organic fuel from the anode to the cathode. This is termed "fuel crossover." Fuel crossover decreases fuel efficiency and fuel cell  
20 efficiency.

The most advanced DMFC systems use a Nafion 117  
perfluorocarbon proton-exchange membrane (DuPont Chemical Company) as their electrolyte. Nafion 117 membranes

demonstrate high conductivity and possess high power and energy density capabilities. However, use of Nafion 117 membranes in DMFCs is associated with disadvantages including very high cost, and a high rate of methanol permeation from the anode compartment, across the polymer electrolyte membrane (i.e. Nafion 117 membrane), to the cathode. This "methanol crossover" lowers the fuel cell efficiency. The diffusion coefficient for methanol in Nafion 117 membranes has been reported to be in the order of 10<sup>-5</sup> cm/s. Verbrugge, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1989, 136, 417. ||

Methanol crossover results in decreased fuel cell voltage and efficiency due to the oxidation of methanol to carbon dioxide at the cathode. Therefore, it is important that the extent of methanol crossover be as small as possible. Consequently, research efforts have focussed on methods of decreasing methanol crossover in DMFCs so that higher cell voltage and efficiency may be achieved.

One approach aimed at decreasing the methanol crossover rate involved polymer-bonded particle hydrates based on tin-mordenite. Lundsgaad et al., *Proc. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1993, 140, 1981; and Lundsgaad et al., *Solid State Ionics*, 1994, 72, 334. These membranes exhibited lower methanol diffusion, however, they showed

modest conductivities at room temperature and decreased solvent uptake.

Another approach aimed at decreasing methanol permeation across the electrolyte membrane involved three-layered electrolyte systems based upon the use of metal hydride films serving as methanol-impermeable proton conductors sandwiched between proton-permeable electrolyte membranes. Pu et al., *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1995, 142, L119. Such systems have been reported to have low methanol permeability when operated in H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> fuel cells. However, no data is available for their application in aqueous liquid-feed DMFCs.

Polymer electrolyte membranes comprising polybenzimidazole films doped with phosphoric acid have also been investigated for use in DMFCs. Wainwright et al., *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1995, 142, L121. Although these membranes have demonstrated decreased methanol permeability in vapor-feed fuel cells, they have not been amenable for use in liquid-feed DMFCs as they only display adequate conductivities at temperatures as high as 150-200°C.

Several polymer electrolyte membranes have been fabricated to decrease methanol crossover and enhance fuel cell efficiency. However, these membranes have significant



limitations when applied to low-temperature liquid-feed DMFCs. Consequently, there is a need for polymer electrolyte membranes which are functional in low-temperature liquid-feed DMFCs, and that display low methanol crossover rates and high fuel cell efficiencies.

#### Summary of the Invention

The present invention provides a novel polymer electrolyte membrane composed of sulfonated polystyrene cross-linked with divinylbenzene, referred to herein as PSSA polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride) "PVDF". The material used could also be sulfonated and cross-linked polystyrene/divinylbenzene and PVDF. Both materials can be called PSSA-PVDF. A preferred mode uses these materials in a fuel cell. In one preferred embodiment of the present invention the fuel cell is a liquid-feed fuel cell. In another preferred embodiment the fuel cell is a direct methanol fuel cell.

The present invention also provides a novel fuel cell comprising a polymer electrolyte membrane composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride). In a preferred embodiment said fuel cell is a direct methanol fuel cell.

The present invention further provides a method of decreasing methanol crossover rates in a direct methanol fuel cell. Methanol crossover rate in said direct methanol fuel cell is decreased by using a polymer electrolyte  
5 membrane which is composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride).

The present invention also provides a method of enhancing efficiency of a direct methanol fuel cell. The efficiency of said direct methanol fuel cell is enhanced by  
10 using a polymer electrolyte membrane which is composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride).

The present invention additionally provides a method of enhancing electrical performance of a direct methanol fuel cell by using low flow rates of oxygen at the cathode  
15 of the fuel cell.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of a direct methanol fuel cell having a polymer electrolyte membrane configured in accordance with the present invention.

20 Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of the apparatus used to evaluate methanol permeability across a polymer electrolyte membrane.

Figure 3 is a graphical comparison of the permeation rates of a 1 M methanol solution across PSSA-PVDF and Nafion 117 membranes.

Figure 4 is a graph representing conductivity measurement for a PSSA-PVDF membrane using a four-probe apparatus and a.c. complex impedance technique.

Figure 5 is a graph illustrating the performance of a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell with a 1 M methanol solution at 83°C.

Figure 6 is a graph illustrating the effect of temperature on the performance of a one inch by one inch membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell.

Figure 7 is a graph illustrating the effect of pressure on the performance of a one inch by one inch membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell.

Figure 8 is a graph illustrating the effect of methanol concentration on the performance of a one inch by one inch membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell.

Figure 9 is a graph illustrating the effect of temperature on the performance of a two inch by two inch

membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell.

Figure 10 is a graph illustrating the effect of temperature on the performance of a two inch by two inch  
5 membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell wherein the electrocatalytic mixture was directly applied to the membrane.

Figure 11 is a graph illustrating the effect of temperature on methanol crossover rates, expressed as  
10 crossover current densities, for a one inch by one inch membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell.

Figure 12 is a graph illustrating the effect of methanol concentration on methanol crossover rates,  
15 expressed as crossover current densities, for a one inch by one inch membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell.

Figure 13 is a bar graph comparing the methanol crossover rates for PSSA-PVDF and Nafion 117 membranes, at  
20 60°C and 90°C, in direct methanol fuel cells.

Figure 14 is a graph illustrating the effect of current density on the cell resistance of a one inch by one

inch membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell.

Figure 15 is a graph comparing the fuel efficiency (expressed as a percentage) of direct methanol fuel cells containing PSSA-PVDF and Nafion 117 membranes.

Figure 16 is a graph comparing the fuel cell efficiency (expressed as a percentage) of direct methanol fuel cells containing PSSA-PVDF and Nafion 117 membranes.

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

The present invention provides a polymer electrolyte membrane intended for use in an electrochemical reaction in a fuel cell. The membrane is fabricated by the immobilization of cross-linked polystyrene sulfonic acid ("PSSA") within an inert matrix of poly(vinylidene fluoride) ("PVDF"). This resulting membrane functions as an ion-exchange electrolyte when used in a fuel cell.

A direct methanol fuel cell produces energy according to the equations shown below.

At the anode:  $\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{CO}_2 + 6 \text{H}^+ + 6 \text{e}^-$

At the cathode:  $1.5 \text{O}_2 + 6 \text{H}^+ + 6 \text{e}^- = 3 \text{H}_2\text{O}$

Methanol is used as fuel in a direct methanol fuel cell. The methanol is oxidized at the anode. This electro-

oxidation at the anode produces carbon dioxide, electrons, and protons. Electrons are conducted through the external load and are captured at the cathode. The oxidant, i.e. protons, are transported directly across the polymer electrolyte membrane to the cathode. Thus a flow of current is maintained by a flow of protons through the membrane of the fuel cell and a flow of electrons through the external load. However, fuel crossover from the anode through the membrane to the cathode can occur which lowers the operating potential of the cathode and represents consumption of fuel without production of useful electrical energy. Thus fuel crossover lowers efficiency and electrical performance of the fuel cell.

Hence the main functions of the polymer electrolyte membrane include preventing the molecular forms of fuel and oxidant from mixing, and providing a means for ionic transport. It must also ensure that electrons pass from the fuel to the oxidizing electrode only via the external current.

An ideal fuel cell electrolyte is one which is permeable to a single ionic species. Polymer electrolyte membranes are generally composed of polymer networks to

which functional groups capable of exchanging anions or cations are attached.

The polymer electrolyte membranes of the present invention are composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride) ("PSSA-PVDF"). These membranes have exhibited high conductivities at low temperatures (25-90°C), low methanol permeability rates when used in direct methanol fuel cells, and have been successfully operated in low-temperature direct methanol fuel cells. Also, polymer electrolyte membranes of the present invention which are made of polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride), used in low temperature direct methanol fuel cells, display high fuel and fuel cell efficiencies.

The present invention also provides a novel fuel cell which makes use of a polymer electrolyte membrane composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride).

Figure 1 shows a fuel cell 10 comprising a housing 12, an anode 14, a cathode 16 and a polymer electrolyte membrane 18. Preferably, the anode, cathode and membrane are integrated to form a single composite structure, with the polymer electrolyte membrane being interposed between the two electrodes, referred to as a membrane electrode

assembly (MEA). It is preferred that the anode have carbon-supported Pt-Ru catalyst and the cathode have carbon-supported Pt. Pump 20 circulates an aqueous solution of an organic fuel in the anode compartment 22 of housing 12. The organic fuel is withdrawn via an appropriate outlet 23 and may be recirculated. Carbon dioxide formed at the anode may be vented via an outlet port 24 in tank 19. The fuel cell is also provided with an oxygen or air compressor 26 to feed oxygen or air into the cathode compartment 28 within housing 12.

Prior to operation, an aqueous solution of the organic fuel (such as methanol) is introduced into the anode compartment 22 of the fuel cell while oxygen or air is introduced into the cathode compartment 28. Next, an electrical load is connected between anode 14 and cathode 16. At this time, the organic fuel is oxidized at the anode and leads to the production of carbon dioxide, protons and electrons. Electrons generated at anode 14 are conducted via the external load to cathode 16. The protons generated at anode 14 migrate through polymer electrolyte membrane 18 to cathode 18 and react with oxygen and electrons (which are transported to the cathode via the external load) to form water.



The present invention further provides a method of decreasing methanol crossover in direct methanol fuel cells by using polymer electrolyte membranes composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid (PSSA) and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF). Methanol crossover results in the loss of fuel and decreased cell voltage and efficiency. PSSA-PVDF membranes display poor methanol permeability, thereby decreasing the rate of methanol permeation from the anode to the cathode, consequently decreasing the oxidation of methanol to carbon dioxide at the cathode.

The present invention also provides a method of enhancing the efficiency of a direct methanol fuel cell by using a polymer electrolyte membrane composed of PSSA-PVDF. Efficiency of the fuel cell is enhanced by using a PSSA-PVDF membrane as this membrane decreases with minimal permeation of the fuel from the anode to the cathode. When the fuel used is methanol, this permeation is called methanol crossover. Methanol crossover decreases the cell voltage.

The present invention also provides a method of enhancing electrical performance of a fuel cell. During the operation of a direct methanol fuel cell, oxygen is circulated around the cathode. Electrical performance of the direct methanol fuel cell is enhanced by circulating

oxygen at a low flow rate around the cathode. Decreasing the flow rate of oxygen leads to dramatically improved performance of the fuel cell. Higher flow rates of oxygen tend to have a drying out effect on the membrane. This  
5 drying out effect increases the resistivity of the membrane since conductivity of the membrane depends on its hydration level. Increased resistivity of the membrane, in turn, leads to an increase in the resistivity of the fuel cell which leads to decreased electrical performance.

10 One problem with the NAFION™ membranes is that they often perform poorly under such low flow oxygen conditions.

The following examples illustrate the invention and are not intended to limit the same.

#### Examples

15 Example 1

#### Preparation of PSSA-PVDF polymer electrolyte membranes:

The first step of the process involves preparation of a membrane. One preferred technique prepares the membranes were prepared according to the method described by  
20 Hodgdon and Boyack (J. Polymer Sci.: Part A, 1965, 3, 1463) in which an interpolymer system is fabricated. This system comprises sulfonated polystyrene-divinylbenzene polymerized

within a poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) matrix. The initial step involves fabrication of a PVDF membrane which could serve as an inert polymer matrix. This was achieved by introducing PVDF powder into a mold, placing the molded  
5 powder in a hot press and heating the resulting sample to 160-180°C. This procedure results in the production of strong, flexible membranes.

grade?

Alternatively, the PVDF membrane is prepared in a polar aprotic solvent, such as acetone, dimethylsulfoxide or  
10 tetrahydrofuran, cast into membranes and placed in a hot press at 160-180°C.

Another possible technique starts with a product of a commercially-available PVDF film, such as Kynar (TM) sheets, available from Elf Autochen. Kynar is a copolymer  
15 of PVDF and hexafluoropropylene ("HFP", or a homopolymer of PVDF). Kynar™ 740, Kynar™ 460 and Kynarflex are the most particularly preferred.

The inventors realized that this initial precursor serves as an efficient inert matrix material, and formed a  
20 useful backbone for the further processing. However, other precursor materials can also be used, including:

- Polytetrafluoroethylene - N-vinylpyrrolidone (PTFE-PVP)
- Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)

- Polyvinyl alcohol -- polyacrylonitrile (PVA-PAN)
- Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)
- Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)
- Polyacrylamide (PAAM)
- 5 - Polyethylene oxide (PEO)
- Polypropylene (PP)
- Polyethylene (PE)
- Polysulfone (PS)
- Sulfonated polysulfone
- 10 - Polyethersulfone (PES)
- Polyetherimide (PEI)
- Polymethylsulfoxide
- Polyacrylonitrile
- Glass membrane composites (hollow fibers)
- 15 - Ceramic matrix host composites
- Zeolite matrix hosts

These materials can be used as the inert polymer matrices, instead of PVDF, into which the PS/DVB mixtures are impregnated to produce interpenetrating polymer networks. Further, to the PS/DVB mixtures other ionomers and cross linking agents can be added to obtain impregnated inert polymer hosts. These materials can alternatively be

blended with PVDF to produce composite inert host matrices and then subsequently impregnated with PS/PVDF.

The membrane is next impregnated and polymerized. First, the PVDF membrane is equilibrated by immersing in acetone (or other polar aprotic solvents,  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , THF, DMF, DMSO, etc.) at 35°C for 24 hours. This has the effect of swelling the membrane. The PVDF membrane is then immersed in a bath of styrene, divinylbenzene (DVB) and AIBN (0.3-0.4% by weight). Any other polymerization initiator could be used in place of the AIBN. The ratio of styrene to divinylbenzene controls the amount of cross-linking. If, for example,  $\text{DVB/PS} = 4/96$ , then the resultant material is 4% cross linked. The ratio can be varied to produce different degrees of cross-linking in the polymer matrix, with 1-12% of divinylbenzene, and more preferably 4%, being the optimum composition. The impregnation polymerization is done typically 3 to 4 times creating a sequential interpenetrating polymer network (with 3 - 5% weight increase in each iteration). The styrene to DVB ratio can be altered in the impregnation steps to create the final product matrix which has a gradient of cross-linking degree. This technique can be utilized to produce the desired properties amenable to improved electrode/membrane interface

characteristics due to better electrocatalytic layer bonding and contact. This method is also useful to alter the sulfonic acid density distribution throughout the polymer mix.

5           After removal from the bath, the membrane is placed between sheets of stiff Al or Ti sheets, placed in a hot press and heated to 150°C -170°C for 1 hour at 500-2000 psi. This procedure is repeated until a 15-25% increase in membrane weight was achieved.

10           The membrane is then sulfonated by immersion in chlorosulfonic acid  $\text{ClSO}_3\text{H}$  (15% solution in chloroform) for 24 hours. This was followed by washing in distilled water and hydrolyzing in distilled water at 65°C. This procedure resulted in the fabrication of flexible polymer electrolyte  
15 membranes having 90-100% sulfonation, and hence most rings having one sulfonic acid group per aromatic ring.

Alternate sulfonation processes can be used.

Sulfonation of the polymers may be carried out by conventional methods including the use of sulfuric acid,  
20 sulfur trioxide, oleum, acetyl sulfonate, acyl sulfate complexes, chlorosulfonic acid or with mixtures. The procedure of using chlorosulfonic acid/carbon tetrachloride ( $\text{ClSO}_3\text{H}/\text{CCl}_4$ ) involves a high degree of reaction product

swelling, which is believed to result in the highest degree of sulfonation.

Evaluation of permeability of polymer electrolyte membranes:

The membrane permeability is evaluated using apparatus 100 shown in Figure 2. Vessel 101 and vessel 102 are connected by a ground down O-ring joint 103 which accommodates a polymer electrolyte membrane sample 104. The individual capacity of each of vessels 101 and 102 is 250 mL and the inner diameter of joint 103 is 2.4 cm (area=1.44 cm<sup>2</sup>).

The membrane sample 104 is introduced into O-ring joint 103. At the same time, 250 mL of a methanol solution (1 M) are added to vessel 101 and 250 mL of deionized water are added to vessel 102. At regular measured intervals, samples are withdrawn from vessel 102 and analyzed for methanol content using a gas chromatograph (Varian 3300) equipped with a Carbowax column. An internal standard, e.g. propanol, is used as a reference for the determination of the concentration of the solution during all gas chromatographic measurements. Stirrer 105 is activated during the experiment to prevent concentration build-up in vessel 102.

The procedure described above is also repeated at higher temperatures and with different methanol concentrations.

Measurement of membrane conductivity: Conductivity of the  
5 polymer electrolyte membrane was measured using two different techniques. The first technique involved placing the polymer electrolyte membrane sample between two graphite electrodes and clamping them together with an O-ring joint. The bulk electrical resistivity was then measured using a  
10 Hewlett-Packard milliohmeter. The resistivity values were then converted to conductivity values using the equation shown below.

$$\sigma = (1/R_g) \times (1/A)$$

The second technique which was used for the  
15 measurement of membrane conductivity involved using a "four probe" apparatus similar to that described by Cahan and Wainright (*J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1993, 140, L185). The polymer electrolyte membrane was placed in a PTFE housing which was equipped with two platinum strips in contact with  
20 the film. Two platinum electrodes in a fixed geometry (distance of 1.026 cm) were placed on the surface of the film and the potential gradient along the membrane, under



current, is measured. Conductivity measurements were obtained by utilizing complex impedance plots to determine bulk resistivity.

Fabrication of membrane electrode assemblies: Membrane

5 electrode assemblies (MEAs) were prepared by heat-pressing the polymer electrolyte membrane sample, in its hydrated state, with catalyzed teflon-impregnated porous carbon electrodes to form a single component. The assemblies tested in direct methanol fuel cells had electrode areas of  
10 6.45 cm<sup>2</sup> (1"x1") and 25 cm<sup>2</sup> (2"x2"). The preparation of catalyst electrodes is achieved by preparing a paste of electrocatalyst, Nafion H (5% by weight solution dispersed in lower alcohols) and an aqueous solution of fluorinated polyethylene (PTFE). This paste was either applied to PTFE-  
15 treated porous carbon paper or directly deposited on the membrane surface.

Evaluation of the performance of PSSA-PVDF membranes in

direct methanol fuel cells: The direct electrochemical oxidation of methanol and trimethoxymethane was investigated  
20 in liquid-feed fuel/oxygen cells which contained MEAs with PSSA-PVDF membranes as the solid polymer electrolyte. In

this design, an aqueous solution of the organic fuel was fed to the anode compartment which contained about  $4 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  of Pt/Ru (1:1) electrocatalyst, and oxygen was supplied to the cathode which contained about  $4 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  of Pt electrocatalyst. The cell was operated at temperatures ranging from 20 to  $90^{\circ}\text{C}$  and was heated at the cell block and the anodic fuel reservoir which was equipped with a condenser to prevent evaporation but allow removal of carbon dioxide from the system. The organic fuel was typically prepared as a 1 M solution and circulated at flow rates of 1 L/minute or higher. The cathode compartment was pressurized with 20-30 psi oxygen and regulated with a valve located in the cathode exit stream. Oxygen flow rates ranged from 3 L/minute to less than 0.01 L/minute, and were measured in the inlet stream. The cells were operated at current densities in the range of 1-400 mA/cm.

Measurement of crossover rates in direct methanol fuel cells: The methanol crossover rates present in operating fuel cells was measured by analyzing the carbon dioxide content of the cathode exit stream. This was accomplished by utilizing an on-line analyzer (Horiba Company) which measured the carbon dioxide volume present in the cathode

exit stream passing through an infra-red detector. Prior to each measurement, the instrument was calibrated with gases of known carbon dioxide content.

The behavior and characteristics of PSSA-PVDF polymer electrolyte membranes as well as results obtained from their use in fuel cells are described in the following section.

### Results

#### 1. Methanol crossover rates with PSSA-PVDF membranes:

To serve as a preliminary screening method, methanol permeability was measured for a number of samples by using the apparatus shown in Figure 2 and described in Example 1. As illustrated in Figure 3, the amount of methanol which permeated across the PSSA-PVDF membrane was about half the amount observed with the use of a Nafion 117 membrane. This behavior of lower methanol crossover rates with PSSA-PVDF membranes was observed at all the indicated temperatures of investigation.

#### 2. Conductivity of electrolyte membranes: The

conductivity for PSSA-PVDF membranes was obtained from complex impedance plots for the determination of bulk

resistivity. The complex impedance for a representative sample is shown in Figure 4, which corresponds to a conductivity value of 54 mS/cm. The conductivity of a Nafion 117 membrane sample was measured under identical conditions and was determined to be about 76 mS/cm, which correlates well with published values. Fontanella et al., *Solid State Ionics*, 1993, 66, 1. It is, therefore, evident that the conductivity of a PSSA-PVDF membrane is of the same order of magnitude as that of a Nafion 117 membrane.

- 10 3. Performance of PSSA-PVDF membranes in direct methanol fuel cells: A PSSA-PVDF membrane was fabricated into a one inch by one inch size membrane electrode assembly (MEA) (electrode area =  $6.45 \text{ cm}^2$ ) by the method described in Example 3. The anode had about  $4 \text{ mg/cm}^2$  of Pt/Ru
- 15 electrocatalyst and the cathode had about  $4 \text{ mg.cm}^2$  of fuel grade Pt (Alfa-Aesar Company), both supported on teflonized carbon paper. The MEA was tested in a direct methanol fuel cell and was observed to deliver high voltages at relatively high current densities, as illustrated in Figure 5. The
- 20 best performance was observed under conditions of high oxygen pressure and low flow rate. Observed performance of the MEA improved dramatically upon a decrease in oxygen flow

rate to the cathode. This behavior is due to an increase in the resistivity of the fuel cell, probably due to a drying out effect of the membrane. Increased resistance of the membrane upon operation with higher flow rates is due to the dependence of conductivity on the hydration level of the membrane. This dehydration phenomenon observed at higher flow rates may be alleviated by humidification of the oxygen stream or possibly by introduction of hydrophilic components (polymer coating or by modification of existing membrane surface). Alternatively, one can also modify electrode structure composition.

Performance achieved with the PSSA-PVDF membrane was verified by fabricating another one inch by one inch electrode area MEA which was tested in a direct methanol fuel cell. The effect of temperature and pressure on the performance of the fuel cell is shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. Performance is enhanced by operating the cell at higher temperatures, and to a lesser extent, at higher pressures.

The effect of methanol concentration on the performance of PSSA-PVDF membranes in direct methanol fuel cells was also investigated and the results are illustrated in Figure 8. It is evident that improved performance may be

realized at higher current densities when greater methanol concentration is used. The inferiority of a 2 M methanol solution at lower current densities may be ascribed to increased methanol crossover rates compared to those  
5 observed with a 1 M methanol solution.

PSSA-PVDF membranes were fabricated into larger membrane electrode assemblies and tested in two inch by two inch direct methanol fuel cells (electrode area = 25 cm<sup>2</sup>). The electrocatalytic mixture was deposited onto teflonized  
10 carbon electrodes, which were then heat-pressed with the membrane. The performance of this MEA as a function of temperature is shown in Figure 9. The electrical performance of a two inch by two inch MEA in a fuel cell was inferior to that of a smaller size MEA. The decrease in  
15 performance upon increasing electrode size is probably due to poor interfacial contact between the membrane and the electrocatalytic layer.

Figure 10 illustrates the performance of a two inch by two inch membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-  
20 PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell wherein the electrocatalytic mixture was directly applied to the membrane. As observed in Figure 10, the electrical performance of two inch by two inch MEAs fabricated with

PSSA-PVDF membranes improved if the electrocatalytic mixture was directly applied to the membrane instead of being deposited onto carbon paper. The increased electrical performance of the MEA is ascribed to improved contact  
5 between the electrocatalytic layer and the polymer electrolyte membrane, thus enabling better catalyst utilization and higher reaction rates.

4. Crossover rates in direct methanol fuel cells:

Methanol crossover rates observed with MEAs fabricated with  
10 PSSA-PVDF membranes are significantly lower than methanol crossover rates observed with analogous Nafion systems. In order to measure methanol crossover rates, the carbon dioxide content of the cathode exit stream was analyzed in operating methanol fuel cells in which PSSA-PVDF membranes  
15 were used as the polymer electrolyte. Figure 11 illustrates methanol crossover rates, expressed as crossover current densities, at different temperatures for a one inch by one inch MEA. It is observed that methanol crossover rates increase in the fuel cell as a function of temperature.  
20 However, these values are substantially lower than the typical values observed when Nafion 117 membranes are used in direct methanol fuel cells. Methanol crossover rates in

PSSA-PVDF-containing direct methanol fuel cells is about 85% lower than that observed in direct methanol fuel cells containing Nafion 117 membranes. It is noteworthy that methanol crossover characteristics, expressed as crossover current densities, do not change substantially as a function of current density, which is a general characteristic of low methanol permeability membranes. Dependence of methanol crossover on current density is, in fact, observed with systems that employ Nafion as the electrolyte.

10 Methanol crossover rates were also measured for 3 M methanol solutions in operating fuel cells and compared to methanol crossover rates measured for 1 M methanol solutions. As illustrated in Figure 12, the methanol crossover rate was about three times higher for the 3 M  
15 methanol solution than for the 1 M methanol solution.

A comparison of the methanol crossover current densities for systems using PSSA-PVDF and Nafion 117 membranes is illustrated in Figure 13. As is evident, the methanol crossover rate for a PSSA-PVDF-containing direct  
20 methanol fuel cell is significantly lower than the methanol crossover rate for a Nafion 117-containing direct methanol fuel cell at 60°C as well as a higher temperature of 90°C.



5.     Resistivity of polymer electrolyte membranes in direct methanol fuel cells:

Cell resistance of a one inch by one inch membrane electrode assembly containing a PSSA-PVDF membrane in a direct methanol fuel cell was measured as a function of current density. The fuel cell was operated at 83oC using a 1 M methanol solution and 20 psi of oxygen pressure. Figure 14 shows that when a PSSA-PVDF membrane is used as the electrolyte, cell resistance of the fuel cell decreased as a function of current density. This type of behavior is thought to be dependant on the hydration level of the PSSA-PVDF membrane, which increases as a function of current density due to the presence of electroosmotic drag.

6.     Fuel and fuel cell efficiency: An important feature of PSSA-PVDF membranes in direct methanol fuel cell

applications is the high fuel efficiencies that are attainable when compared to Nafion-based membranes. This is primarily due to the low methanol crossover characteristics of the PSSA-PVDF membrane, which translates into improved fuel utilization. A comparison of the fuel efficiencies of fuel cells operating with PSSA-PVDF membranes and Nafion 117 membranes is illustrated in Figure 15. It is evident that a fuel cell using a PSSA-PVDF membrane has a much higher

efficiency at all current densities, having fuel efficiency of about 90% at 100 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, whereas a fuel cell having a Nafion 117 membrane yields under 30% at the same current density. Thus, it is apparent that the application of PSSA-

5 PVDF membranes is extremely promising for direct methanol fuel cells and represents a major improvement over Nafion 117 membranes which display adequate fuel efficiencies only at very high current densities.

The fuel cell efficiencies of direct methanol fuel  
10 cells operating with PSSA-PVDF and Nafion 117 membranes have also been determined and is illustrated in Figure 16. Fuel cell efficiencies of about 40% were obtained with a fuel cell using a PSSA-PVDF membrane as the electrolyte at low current densities, which is a 3-4-fold improvement in fuel  
15 cell efficiency over a Nafion 117-based system at analogous current densities. Thus, it is clearly evident that systems employing PSSA-PVDF membranes are superior for direct methanol fuel cell applications, particularly at low current  
densities where Nafion-based systems display poor  
20 efficiencies.

It is envisioned that fuel and fuel cell efficiency may be further improved by optimizing techniques for the fabrication of polymer electrolyte membranes. Thinner, more

uniform membranes may be fabricated by using commercially available PVDF (Kynar) sheets of varied thickness and porosity to serve as the inert matrix host polymer. Other matrix polymers can be assessed for their use in polymer electrolyte membranes such as poly(fluoroethylene-co-hexafluoropropylene) (FEP). FEP (DuPont Chemical Company) is commercially available (10x10 cm sheets) as thin films ranging in thickness from 50 mm to 125 mm. FEP is similar to PVDF but, being fully fluorinated, may possess additional desirable properties compared to PVDF. Perfluorinated polymers may also be used in the fabrication process.

Also, radiation-grafted membranes of low thickness (100 mm) and low ionic resistivity ( $< 10 \text{ Ohm.cm}$ ) are available commercially (Pall RAI, Inc.). It may be desirable to determine the suitability of such radiation-grafted membranes for application in direct methanol fuel cells.

An important aspect of a polymer electrolyte membrane in a membrane electrode assembly is the nature of the interface between the electrocatalyst on the electrodes and the membrane. The degree of interpenetration between the two solid phases is small, resulting in poor catalyst utilization and the need for high electrocatalyst loading.

Fabrication methods for improving the interfacial contact in a membrane electrode assembly are also desired. One such method involves casting a mixture of polymer and solvent into a heated Teflon-bonded electrode or a dry Teflon-electrocatalyst mixture. Further, the electrocatalyst may be directly deposited (precipitation, painting, spraying, etc.) on the membrane surface. Furthermore, grid-type backings may be employed, or the polymer electrolyte membrane may be swollen before subjecting it to the hot pressing step or prior to deposition of the catalyst involved in the fabrication process described in Example 1.

### Conclusion

Fuel cells of the present invention comprise polymer electrolyte membranes composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid (PSSA) and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF). Dramatically lower methanol crossover rates (up to 95% reduction) were demonstrated with the fuel cells of the present invention than those displayed by fuel cells having Nafion-based membranes such as membranes made of Nafion 117. Also, application of PSSA-PVDF membranes in direct methanol fuel cells has been demonstrated in one inch by one inch and two inch by two inch fuel cells and was shown to sustain

relatively high current densities. Operation of fuel cells bearing PSSA-PVDF membranes capable of sustained high current oxidation while maintaining low methanol crossover rates translates into fuel cell systems that exhibit improved fuel and fuel cell efficiencies.

### Example 2

For the second example, a different precursor is used. Any inert polymer that has the ability to impregnate with ionomer or ionomeric precursor can be used, including:

- |    |  |            |
|----|--|------------|
| 10 | - Polytetrafluoroethylene - N-vinylpyrrolidone | (PTFE-PVP) |
|    | - Polytetrafluoroethylene                      | (PTFE)     |
|    | - Polyvinyl alcohol - polyacrylonitrile        | (PVA-PAN)  |
|    | - Polyvinyl chloride                           | (PVC)      |
|    | - Polyvinyl alcohol                            | (PVA)      |
| 15 | - Polyacrylamide                               | (PAAM)     |
|    | - Polyethylene oxide                           | (PEO)      |
|    | - Polypropylene                                | (PP)       |
|    | - Polyethylene                                 | (PE)       |
|    | - Polysulfone                                  | (PS)       |
| 20 | - Sulfonated polysulfone                       |            |
|    | - Polyethersulfone                             | (PES)      |
|    | - Polyetherimide                               | (PEI)      |
|    | - Polymethylsulfoxide                          |            |
|    | - Polyacrylonitrile                            |            |
| 25 | - Glass membrane composites (hollow fibers)    |            |
|    | - Ceramic matrix host composites               |            |
|    | - Zeolite matrix hosts                         |            |

These materials can be used as the inert polymer matrices, instead of PVDF, into which the PS/DVB mixtures are impregnated to produce interpenetrating polymer networks. Or, alternatively these materials can be blended

with PVDF to produce composite inert host matrices and then subsequently impregnated with PS/PVDF.

At present, these other polymers are less preferred. The rest of the operations are the same as the steps  
5 discussed above in the first example.

However, the degree of porosity of the material will effect the amount of weight gain, and hence may effect the repetition steps described above.

### Example 3

10 The third example modifies any of the above two examples by using a modification of the ionomer.

Although only a few embodiments have been described in detail above, those having ordinary skill in the art will certainly understand that many modifications are possible in  
15 the preferred embodiment without departing from the teachings thereof. For example:

### Cross-Linking Agents

DVB may also be substituted with other cross-linking agents which have longer tethers, thus altering properties  
20 of polymer. The polymerization may be initiated by a number of different methods, which include the use of:  
azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN), peroxides (i.e., benzoyl peroxide, diacetyl peroxide, and di-t-butyl peroxide),

hydroperoxides (i.e., cumyl hydroperoxide), photoinitiators (i.e., disulfides, benzoin, and benzil), as well as by thermal cross-linking methods (i.e., using hot press).

#### Alternative Membrane Fabrication Techniques

5           Membranes can be prepared by the copolymerization of the propyl ester of p-styrenesulfonic acid with styrene and divinylbenzene and subsequent hydrolysis of the polymer to produce polystyrenesulfonic acid (PSSA). This method enables the preparation of membranes of various capacities  
10 with sulfonate groups distributed uniformly throughout the bulk of the membrane. It should be possible to modify this technique such that the polymerization and formation of polystyrenesulfonic acid are performed within a matrix host, such as PVDF, to produce interpenetrating polymer network  
15 membranes.

#### Use of Fluorinated Surfactants with Fluorinated Polymer Matrix

Matrix polymers, including polyhalogenated ethylene polymers (such as polytetrafluoro-ethylene or  
20 polytrifluoromono-chloroethylene), can be sufficiently wetted with highly fluorinated aliphatic surfactants. The use of surfactants, 0.001% to 1.0% addition to electrolyte, resulted in substantial electrolyte retention (up to 300%

more retential) by the PTFE structure. The best surfactants identified were: the ammonium, potassium, or sodium salt of a completely fluorinated aliphatic monocarboxylic acids (such as caprylic acid, caproic acid, octanoic acid, nonoic acid, decanoic acid, lauric acid, myristic acid, tridecanoic acid and perfluoroalkyl sulfonates (such as ammonium perfluorononyl sulfonate. Surfactants can be utilized in current MEA technology for direct methanol fuel cells which employ PSSA-PVDF membranes, or other similar membranes which include polyhagenated ethylene polymers. The use of these types of surfactants in the electrocatalytic layer may be used to enable better wetting of the PVDF structure which should enable better interpenetration of the ionomer containing electrocatalytic layer into the membrane. Thus, this method should lead to MEAs with improved electrode/membrane bonding characteristics and better interfacial contact due to the fact that the ionomeric material can more effectively interpenetrate the membrane structure.

20 Applying Catalytically Active Metals to Ion Exchange Membranes

Catalytically active metals for use as electrode onto a base can be deposited and subsequently transferred to an ion-exchange membrane. The active material can be



deposited onto a conducting base that can be a single plate or a moving drum or strip. The deposit is washed and dried while in contact with the base and then transferred using heat and pressure directly to an ion-exchange membrane. The  
5 conducting base can be stainless steel or Monel or Nichrome, tantalum, or other conductive metal resistant to corrosion.

The sulfonated styrene polymer can also be swelled.

The application of the electrolytic coating to the membrane can be accomplished by the application of heat  
10 and/or pressure and by the use of adhesive materials or solvents to the membrane polymer. A swelling solvent or a plasticizer can be utilized for the ion-exchange membrane. Sulfonated styrene polymers can be swelled with by incorporation of alcohols, ketones, amines,  
15 dimethylformamide, dimethylacetamide, and dimethylsulfide.

The material can be transferred to the membrane. This transfer of material to the membrane should be at a temperature high enough to soften the polymer. Best transfer occurs at the higher end of the temperature range  
20 (i.e., 200-250°F) for a copolymer of trifluorochloroethylene and vinylidene fluoride. An applied pressure of 2,000 psi is preferable. The pressure must be sufficient to enable

penetration of the catalytically active material at least 100-1000Å into the membrane structure.

All such modifications are intended to be encompassed within the following claims.

#### Alternative Methods

1. Altering the melt flow characteristics of the polymer membrane by exchange of existing cation ( $H^+$ ) with other ions ( $NR_4^+$ ,  $Na^+$ ,  $K^+$ ,  $Cs^+$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ , etc.). This cation exchange can also be performed on the ionomer material contained in the electrocatalytic layer. Alternatively, one can use the sulfonyl fluoride form of the ionomer and subsequently hydrolyze to the protonic form ( $-SO_3H$ ) after MEA fabrication.

#### 2. Surface Modification Techniques.

- Dip coating technique
- sulfonation of surfaces
- incorporation Nafion layer on surface
- composite Nafion/PSSA/PVDF membranes
- Physical scoring of membrane surface

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

- 1           1.    A fuel cell element which is intended for use  
2    in an electrochemical reaction in a fuel cell comprising a  
3    polymer electrolyte membrane, said membrane being composed  
   of polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride).
- 1           2.    The fuel cell element of claim 1 wherein said  
   fuel cell is a liquid-feed fuel cell.
- 1           3.    The fuel cell element of claim 2 wherein said  
   fuel cell is a direct methanol fuel cell.
- 1           4.    A methanol fuel cell comprising:  
2                an anode;  
3                a cathode;  
4                a proton-conducting electrolyte, said  
5    electrolyte being a polymer electrolyte membrane which is  
6    composed of polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene  
7    fluoride); and

8                    a pump element, moving said methanol to contact  
with said anode.

1                    5.    The fuel cell of claim 5 wherein said organic  
fuel is methanol.

1                    6.    The fuel cell of claim 5 which is a direct  
methanol fuel cell.

1                    7.    A method of decreasing methanol crossover rate  
2    in a methanol-based fuel cell comprising  
3                    obtaining a polymer precursor membrane,  
4                    cross linking and polymerizing said precursor to  
5    form a membrane,  
6                    sulfonating said membrane; and  
7                    using said membrane in an electrochemical reaction  
in said fuel cell.

1                    8.    The method of claim 7 wherein said organic fuel  
is methanol.

1                    9.    The method of claim 7 wherein said fuel cell is  
a direct methanol fuel cell.

1           10. A method of enhancing efficiency of a fuel cell  
2 by using a fuel cell element in an electrochemical reaction  
3 in said fuel cell comprising forming a polymer electrolyte  
4 membrane, said membrane being composed of polystyrene  
5 sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride) and  
6           using said membrane to decrease a permeation of  
organic fuel which is present in said fuel cell.

1           11. The method of claim 10 wherein said organic  
fuel is methanol.

1           12. The method of claim 10 wherein said fuel cell  
is a direct methanol fuel cell.

1           13. A method of enhancing electrical performance of  
2 a fuel cell, said fuel cell having an anode, a cathode and a  
3 polymer electrolyte membrane, by circulating oxygen around  
said cathode at a flow rate less than 5 L/minute.

1           14. The method of claim 13 wherein said flow rate  
is between 0.003 L/minute and 2.2 L/minute.

1           15. The method of claim 13 wherein said fuel cell  
is a direct methanol fuel cell.

1           16. A method of forming a fuel cell membrane,  
2 comprising:  
3           obtaining a matrix polymer host;  
4           first impregnation of host with monomer-cross  
5 linking agent followed by polymerization and cross-linking  
6 in the matrix polymer host;  
7           sulfonating the cross linked and polymerized  
8 membrane to form a final membrane; and  
9           using the final membrane in an oxidation/reduction  
fuel cell reaction with methanol as the active element.

1           17. A method as in claim 16, further comprising  
2 impregnating the membrane prior to said polymerizing to  
swell the membrane.

1           18. A method as in claim 16, wherein said  
2 polymerizing and cross linking using materials which allow  
3 selection of an amount of cross linking in the matrix  
polymer host.

1           19. A method as in claim 18, wherein said  
2 polymerizing includes using a cross linking agent which  
3 causes a weight gain in the membrane, and repeating said  
4 polymerizing until said weight gain reaches a predetermined  
amount.

1           20. A method as in claim 19 wherein said  
2 polymerizing comprises immersing the membrane in a bath of  
3 styrene, divinylbenzene (DVB) and a polymerization  
4 initiator, wherein a ratio of styrene to divinylbenzene  
5 controls an amount of cross-linking in the resultant polymer  
matrix.

1           21. A method as in claim 16, wherein said matrix  
2 polymer host is a material from the group consisting of:  
3 -Polystyrene sulfonic acid and poly(vinylidene fluoride)  
4 ("PSSA-PVDF")  
5 - Polytetrafluoroethylene - N-vinylpyrrolidone (PTFE-PVP) -  
6 - Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)  
7 - Polyvinyl alcohol - polyacrylonitrile (PVA-PAN)  
8 - Polyvinyl chloride (PVC)  
9 - Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)  
10 - Polyacrylamide (PAAM)

- 11 - Polyethylene oxide (PEO)
- 12 - Polypropylene (PP)
- 13 - Polyethylene (PE)
- 14 - Polysulfone (PS)
- 15 - Sulfonated polysulfone
- 16 - Polyethersulfone (PES)
- 17 - Polyetherimide (PEI)
- 18 - Polymethylsulfoxide
- 19 - Polyacrylonitrile
- 20 - Glass membrane composites (hollow fibers)
- 21 - Ceramic matrix host composites, and
- Zeolite matrix hosts.

1           22. A method as in claim 16, wherein said matrix  
2 polymer host is polystyrene sulfonic acid and  
3 poly(vinylidene fluoride)

4           23. A method as in claim 16, wherein said  
5 sulfonating comprises immersion in chlorosulfonic acid  
ClSO<sub>3</sub>H solution in chloroform.



FIGURE 1





FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4





FIGURE 5



6/16

FIGURE 6




FIGURE 7






FIGURE 8






9/16

FIGURE 9




10/16

FIGURE 10



11/16

FIGURE 11



12/16

FIGURE 12





FIGURE 13



14/16

FIGURE 14






FIGURE 15



16/16

FIGURE 16





## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US97/21247

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : H01M 8/10, 8/08, 8/04

US CL : 429/33, 46, 17

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 429/33, 46

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X — Y	US 3,423,228 A (OSTER et al) 21 January 1969, see col. 1, lines 63-65; Examples 3 and 4.	1, 7, 16, 18-23 <u>2-6, 8-15</u>



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

01 FEBRUARY 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

25 FEB 1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

BRUCE BELL

Telephone No. (703) 308-2527